LATEST DEVELOPMENTS IN DISCRIMINATION LAW

Paul Scholey

Consultant, Solicitor | Employment Department

Morrish Solicitors LLP

November 2023



Forstater revisited

- Discrimination on grounds of gender critical belief
- Remedy has been announced
- £105,000
- Loss of earnings
- Loss of earning capacity
- Upper middle Vento
- Aggravated damages



The 2023 Vento bands

From 6/4/23

- Lower band of £1,100 to £11,200
- A middle band of £11,200 to £33,700
- An upper band of £33,700 to £56,200
- The most exceptional cases capable of exceeding £56,200



Religion and belief

- Ethical veganism as a Protected Characteristic?
- Overlap with Covid-19 and vaccines
- Owen v Willow Tower Opco 1 Ltd



More on Gender Critical beliefs...

- All staff email about expression of GC beliefs
- "Petition" follow-up; CEO remarks
- Was it harassment?
- Fahmy v Arts Council England
- Now settled
- Confirms Forstater is here to stay



New research: intersectionality

- McKinsey Institute for Black Economic Mobility
- 66% employers had high female representation
- 50% employers had high ethnic-minority representation
- Only 17% had both



Harassment: perception

- C learned of comments made about him by colleagues, as part of B&H investigation
- Whether C could be harassed whilst unaware of comments
- Perception = key
- Reasonableness given B&H allegations
- · Greasley-Adams v Royal Mail Group Ltd



Harassment: New Legislation

- Protection from Sex-Based Harassment in Public Act 2023
- Adds new s.4B to POA 86 re: intentional harassment to add basis of sex
- NB s.4A applies to public AND private unless a dwelling = work?
- SI to be published as usual...



Harassment: New Legislation (2)

- The Worker Protection (Amendment of Equality Act 2010) Act 2023
- A duty on employers to protect against sexual harassment
- No third-party duty



Menopause

McCabe v Selazar Ltd (ET) 2021

- CEO comments "Calm down...don't let the hormones get out of control"
- Other evidence
- Age a reason for Claimant's dismissal



Menopause (2)

Merchant v BT plc (ET) 2012

- Claimant provided GP letter saying she was "going through the menopause which can affect her level of concentration at times"
- Claimant dismissed for poor performance
- ET: Employer would not have adopted this "bizarre and irrational approach" with other "non-female related conditions"



Genuine Occupational Requirements

- Carer for physically disabled woman is male
- Use of female carer?
- Whether GOR applies?
- Proportionality
- Donnelly v PQ



Disability - s.15 EA - "something arising"

- C has dyslexia, Asperger's, hearing loss
- "Meltdowns" at work
- Whether "something arising"
- McQueen v General Optical Council



Disability - sickness absence

- C long-term sick
- Whether justified?
- Proportionate means of achieving a legitimate aim
- McAllister v Revenue and Customs Commissioners



ANY QUESTIONS?

Paul Scholey
Consultant, Solicitor, Employment Department
Morrish Solicitors LLP
paul.scholey@morrishsolicitors.com
0333 344 9603

info@morrishsolicitors.com morrishsolicitors.com

